MAKERERE UNIVERSITY BUSINESS SCHOOL

AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARACTERISTICS, INTERNAL AUDIT PERSONNEL CHARACTERISTICS, PERCEPTIONS OF ORGANISATIONAL JUSTICE AND INTERNAL AUDIT QUALITY.

THE CASE OF MDIs and MFIs

BY

CATHERINE MWIRI WAMATSEMBE

(ACCA, Bsc Hons Applied Accounting, Oxford Brookes)

REGN. NO.: 2005/HD10/2723U

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTERS OF SCIENCE IN ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE OF MAKERERE UNIVERSITY.

SUPERVISORS: ASSOC. PROF.SAMUEL SEJJAKA

HAJJI TWAHA KAAWAASE

DECLARATION

I,	Catherine N	Awiri W	amatsembe,	decla	are tha	at this	disse	rtatio	on is my	y original	work
res	ulting from	my inde	pendent resea	arch a	and in	vestigat	ion, a	ınd h	nas never	been subr	nitted
or	published	in an	y university	or	any	institu	tion	of	higher	learning.	Due
acknowledgement has been made where works of other scholars was used.											
Sig	nature:										
••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••			
Da	te:										

APPROVAL

This is to certify that this dissertation has been submitted for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Accounting and finance) degree of Makerere University with my
approval as the university supervisor.
Signature:
Date:
ASSOC. PROF. SAMUEL SEJJAKA
MAKERERE UNIVERSITY BUSINESS SCHOOL.
Signature:
Date:
HAJJI TWAHA KAAWAASE
SENIOR LECTURER
MAKERERE UNIVERSITY BUSINESS SCHOOL.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my dear husband Mr. Wilson Wamatsembe and my lovely children: Cliff Albert, Edwin, Venny, Marvin and Doreen who endured my absenteeism from home as I pursued this course. I can't forget my Dad Joah and Mum Kevin for their encouragement in my education since I started school.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extend my sincere gratitude to my supervisors Assoc. Prof. Samuel Sejjaka, Hajji Twaaha Kawaase, and Prof. Dr. Thomas Walter for the guidance and invaluable support offered to me that has enabled me complete this study. Likewise I thank Mr. Moya Musa and Dr. Ntayi for the assistance and advice on the various consultations that have enabled me finalise my research.

I would also like to thank the staff of B.O.U, MDIs and MFIs who agreed despite the confidentiality levels in banks to provide information and more especially the research assistants Ben and Peter who endured the resistance and basking sun collecting data that was used in compilation of this report.

Finally, I would like to thank my dear friends Sarah and Fred, of Msc Accounting and Finance class 2005/06 for the encouragement and challenge that motivated me throught the period of study.

TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	Page No.
Decla	ration	i
Appro	oval	ii
Dedic	ation	iii
Ackno	owledgements	iv
Abstra	act	v
Table	of contents	vi
List o	f tables and figures	x
Acron	nyms	xi
Abstra	act	xii
CHA	PTER ONE - Introduction	
1.0	Background	1
1.1	Statement of the Problem.	2
1.2	Purpose of the study	3
1.3	Research objectives	3
1.4	Research questions	3
1.5	Significance of the study	4
1.6	Scope of the Study	4
1.6.1	Area scope	4
1.6.2	Subject scope	4
1.7	Conceptual Framework	4

CHAPTER TWO- Review of related literature

2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Audit quality	6
2.3	Audit committee characteristics and Audit quality	7
2.3.1	Independence of Audit committee on audit quality	8
2.3.2	Audit committee financial knowledge and experience on quality	9
2.3.3	Interaction between audit committee and internal audit	
	Personnel	9
2.4	Internal audit personnel characteristics and internal audit quality	10
2.4.1	Education and experience on audit quality	10
2.4.2	Competence	10
2.5	Perceptions of organizational justice	11
2.5.1	Procedural justice	11
2.5.2	Distributive justice	12
2.6	Audit personnel characteristics and perceptions of organizational	
	Justice	13
СНАР	PTER THREE- Methodology	14
3.1	Introduction	14
3.2	Research method	14
3.3	Survey population	14
3.4	Sample size and selection	15
3.5	Data collection	15

3.5.1	Data sources and instruments	15
3.5.2	Instruments of data collection	15
3.6	Measurement of variables	16
3.6.1	The dependent variable: Audit quality	16
3.6.2	Independent variables: Audit committee & internal audit	16
3.6.3	Perceptions of organizational justice	17
3.7	Research Instruments	17
3.7.1	Validity and reliability	17
3.8	Data analysis	18
3.9	Anticipated Limitations	19
CHAI	PTER FOUR- Data presentation, Interpretation, and Discussion	
	of the results	
4.1	Introduction	20
4.1 4.2	Introduction	20
4.2	Response rate	20
4.2 4.2.1	Response rate	20 21
4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2	Response rate	20 21 22
4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3	Response rate	20 21 22 23
4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4	Response rate	20 21 22 23 24
4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5	Response rate	20 21 22 23 24 26
4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.3	Response by gender and designation. Marital status by gender. Marital status by age group. Education by financial institution distribution. Period with institution by financial institution. Descriptive Statistics.	20 21 22 23 24 26

4.4.2	Factor analysis for internal audit personnel characteristics	32
4.4.3	Factor analysis for perceptions of organizational justice	35
4.4.4	Factor analysis for Audit quality	37
4.5	Relationship between audit committee, audit personnel	
	characteristics, perceptions of organizational justice and audit quality	39
4.5.1	Audit committee characteristics ad audit quality	40
4.5.2	Internal audit personnel and audit quality	40
4.5.3	Perceptions of organizational justice and audit quality	41
4.6	The regression model.	43
СНАІ	PTER FIVE- Summary of findings, Conclusion, and Recommendation	44
5.1	Introduction	44
5.2	Summary of findings	
	44	
5.3	Conclusion and Recommendation.	45
5.3.1	Audit committee characteristics	45
5.3.2	Internal audit personnel characteristics.	45
5.3.3	Perceptions of organizational justice.	46
5.4	Areas for further research.	47
D C		
Refere	ences	48

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table	1	Survey population	14
Table	2	Sample summary	15
Table	3	Reliability coefficients	18
Table	4	Response rate by category	21
Table	4.1	Analysis per designation of staff and gender	21
Table	4.2	Marital status by gender	22
Table	4.3	Marital status by age group distribution	23
Table	4.4	Education by financial institution distribution	25
Table	4.5	Period with institution by financial institution	26
Table	4.6	Descriptive statistics for the variables.	27
Table	4.7	Factor analysis Results: Audit committee characteristics	30
Table	4.8	Factor analysis Results: Internal Audit personnel xteristics	33
Table	4.9	Factor analysis Results: Perceptions of organizational justice	36
Table	4.10	Factor analysis Results: Audit quality	38
Table	4.11	Zero order correlation.	40
Table	4.12	Regression Model	44
Figure	1	Concentual framework	5

ACRONYMS

AC Audit committee

IA Internal audit

TEA Texas Education Agency

OECD Organisation for economic cooperation and development.

MDI Micro –Deposit Taking institutions

MFI Micro-finance institutions

B.O.U Bank of Uganda

SEC Securities and Exchange Commission

CIA Chief internal auditor

IIA Institute of internal auditors

ABSTRACT

According to B.O.U annual report 1999, the role of internal audit is an important part of corporate governance and one of the mechanisms of system checks and balances in any financial institution. The study evolved around the relationship between factors that could impact on the quality of internal audits in the MDIs and MFIs.

A conceptual framework adopted from Zain *et al* (2006) and modified to suit the study was developed to show the relationship between the variables.

The specific objectives were: to establish audit committee characteristics, audit personnel characteristics, perceptions of organizational justice, and audit quality. They also explained the relationship between the three variables and audit quality.

A cross sectional design was used based on qualitative and quantitative techniques. It targeted 150 employees in total; 40 from MDIs and 110 in MFIs purposively selected.

The findings revealed a positive correlation between the constructs of audit committee characteristics, audit personnel characteristics, perceptions of organizational justice and audit quality. It was also revealed that audit quality in these financial institutions is fairly good citing low competences and experience on the personnel characteristics and low commitment and loyalty on perceptions of organisational justice. As per the regression model, perceptions of organisational justice were the major predictors of audit quality.