

ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERNS OF BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM OPEN FRACTURE INFECTIONS AT MULAGO HOSPITAL.

\mathbf{BY}

DR. PHILLIP MULEPO (MUST)

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTERS OF MEDICINE IN ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY OF MAKERERE UNIVERSITY.

© 2014.

DECLARATION.

I declare that this dissertation has not been submitted for another degree in this University or
any other University/ Institution of higher learning and that the views expressed herein are
mine unless otherwise stated and where such has been the case, acknowledgement or
reference has been quoted.
Witness my hand thisday of

Signed.....

DR. PHILLIP MULEPO
Approved for submission by;
SUPERVISORS:
SignedDate
DR. MWAKA.S. ERISA. MBchB, M.MED (ORTHO)(MUK), PhD(FUKUI)
SignedDate
DR. WAISWA GONZAGA. MBchB, M.MED (ORTHO)(MUK), FCS (ECSA).
SignedDate

DR. CHRISTINE NAJJUKA. MBchB, M.MED (MICROB)(MUK).

DEDICATION.

I dedicate this book to the Almighty God and my late mother.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am indebted to my supervisors Dr. Mwaka .S. Erisa, Dr. Waiswa Gonzaga and Dr. Najjuka Christine for guidance and positive criticism during the course of this study.

I am also grateful to my teachers of Orthopaedic Surgery for their mentorship.

To my family I will always be grateful for the support, love and care.

Special thanks to the Uganda Health Systems Strengthening Project (UHSSP) for paying my tuition.

To my coursemates and friends, I will always be grateful for the support and encouragement you offered me during the course of the study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

DEDICATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	viii
OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS	ix
ABSTRACT	x
CHAPTER ONE	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION.	1
1.1 Problem statement.	2
1.2 Justification.	3
1.3 Research questions.	4
1.4 Objectives.	4
1.4.1 General objective.	4
1.4.2 Specific objectives	4
1.5 Conceptual frame work	4
CHAPTER TWO.	5
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW.	5
2.1 Classification of open fractures	6
2.2 Pathomechanics of infection.	7
2.3 Antibiotic use in open fractures	10
CHAPTER THREE.	11
3.0 STUDY METHODOLOGY.	11
3.1 Study design.	11
3.2 Study setting	11

3.3 Study population.	13
3.4 Sample size.	13
3.5 Sampling procedure.	13
3.6 Inclusion criteria.	14
3.7 Exclusion criteria	14
3.8 Study variables	14
3.8.1 Independent variables.	14
3.8.2 Dependant variables.	14
3.10 Study procedure.	14
3.10.1 Sample collection, Handling and Transport	15
3.10.2 Microscopic Examination, Culture and Identification.	16
3.10.3 Antimicrobial Testing.	16
3.11 DATA MANAGEMENT	17
3.11.1 Data collection.	17
3.11.2 Data storage.	17
3.11.3 Data entry and analysis.	17
3.11.4 Role of the Principle Investigator.	18
3.11.5 Role of the Research Assistant	18
3.11.6 Quality control.	18
3.11.7 Dissemination of study results.	19
3.12 Ethical Issues.	19
CHAPTER FOUR	20
4.0 RESULTS.	20
4.1 Demography	20
4.2 Description of Injuries.	22
4.3 Bacteria isolated	28
4.4 Antibiotic Susceptibility of Isolated Bacteria.	30

CHAPTER FIVE3	4
5.0 DISCUSSION3	4
5.1 Demographic Characteristics	4
5.2 Description of the Injuries and their Causes.	5
5.3 Bacteria Isolated	8
5.4 Antibiotic Susceptibility	0
5.5 Study Limitation	3
CHAPTER SIX4	3
6.0 CONCLUSION4	.3
6.1 RECOMMENDATIONS4	.4
REFERENCES4	4
APPENDIX I: CONSENT FORM4	8
APPENDIX II: ASSENT FORM5	1
APPENDIX III. OKUNYONYOLA N'OKUKKIRIZA – MU LUGANDA5	5
APPENDIX IV: QUESTIONNAIRE5	7
APPENDIX V: BUDGET6	1
APPENDIX VI: GUSTILLO AND ANDERSON CLASSIFICATION OF OPEN FRACTURES6	3
APPENDIX VII: THE LEVINE TECHNIQUE FOR WOUND SAMPLING FOR CULTURE AND SENSITIVITY6	
APPENDIX VIII: S.O.P FOR EXAMINATION OF DEEP WOUND SWABS/ASPIRATED PUS 6	5
APPENDIX IX: KIRBY BAUER DISC DIFFUSSION METHOD (1966)7	3
APPENDIX X: STUDY TIME LINE (1st FEBRUARY 2013 – 28th FEBRUARY2014)7	′5

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1: Distribution of Occupation of the Patients.
- Table 2: Distribution of Mechanism of Injury.
- Table 3: Distribution of the Site of Accident.
- Table 4: Distribution of Open Fractures.
- Table 5: Distribution of Bacteria Isolated and Duration of Injury.
- Table 6: Distribution of Antibiotics Received by the Patients before the Study.
- Table 7: Distribution of Gram Stain.
- Table 8: Distribution of Bacteria Isolated.
- Table 9: Distribution of Antibiotic Sensitivity Patterns of Isolated Bacteria
- Table 10: Distribution of Antibiotic Resistance Patterns of Isolated Bacteria.

LIST OF FIGURES.

Figure 1: Distribution of Patients by Age and Gender

Figure 2: Distribution of Severity of Open Fractures.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.

AEU - Accident and Emergency Unit.

MDR - Multi Drug Resistance.

MRSA - Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus.

RTA – Road Traffic Accident.

S.O.P – Standard Operating Procedures.

SPP – Species.

WHO - World Health Organisation.

.

OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS.

Infected open fracture – An open fracture with a purulent discharge.

Purulent discharge – A discharge containing pus.

ABSTRACT

Introduction.

Infections are a common complication of open fractures; they are associated substantially with prolonged hospital stay, leading to higher treatment cost, morbidity and mortality, particularly when the etiological bacteria are multidrug resistant. Knowledge of the infecting bacteria and their antibiotic sensitivity patterns is very important in our setting where routine treatment is given without doing culture and sensitivity.

Objective.

To determine the antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of bacteria isolated from open fracture infections at Mulago hospital.

Methodology.

This was a descriptive cross sectional study involving 134 patients with infected open fractures between September 2013 and March 2014 at Mulago Hospital. Participants who met the inclusion criteria were consecutively recruited into the study. Pus aspirate and swabs were taken from infected open fractures for microscopy, culture and antibiotic sensitivity profiling. The data collected was entered into EPIDATA 3.02 exported to STATA Version 11 for analysis. Descriptive (mean, standard deviation and interquartile range) and inferential (Chi square and Fishers exact tests) statistics were used to analyse data. Alpha level was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Multidrug resistant gram-negative and gram positive organisms were isolated. *Pseudomonas* spp 26(18.2%), *Klebsiella spp* 25(17.4%) and *Acinetobacter spp* 23(16.1%) were the

commonest gram negative organisms isolated while *Staphylococcus aureus* 8(5.6%) and *Enterococcus spp* 3(2.1%) were the commonest isolated gram positive organisms.

The isolated gram negative bacteria were sensitive (>80%) to Amikacin, Piperacillin and Imipenem but resistant (>80%) to, Ampicillin, Augmentin, Ceftriaxone, Ceftazidime, Cefuroxime and Co-trimoxazole.

The isolated gram positive bacteria; *Staphylococcus aureus* were sensitive (>80%) to Vancomycin, Chloramphenicol, and Oxacillin but resistant (71.4%) to Co-trimoxazole. Meanwhile, *Enterococcus spp* were sensitive (50%) to Vancomycin and Tetracycline but were resistant (100%) to Chloramphenicol, Ciprofloxacin and Gentamycin. There was significant statistical association between the duration of injury and bacteria isolated (p= 0.006).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

Multidrug resistant gram negative bacteria, *Pseudomonas spp, Klebsiella spp, Acinetobacter spp, Enterobacter spp, Escherichia coli* and *Proteus spp* are associated with open fracture infections at Mulago hospital. These multidrug resistant gram negative bacteria were sensitive to Amikacin, Imipenem, and Piperacillin.

The isolation of multidrug resistant gram negative bacteria calls for laboratory guided therapy, strengthening infection control surveillance, identification of the source of these multidrug resistant bacteria, development of an antibiotic protocol for management of open fractures and we suggest use of Amikacin, Imipenem and Piperacillin for treatment of the multidrug resistant gram negative open fracture infections at Mulago hospital.