

**Makerere**



**University**

**POPULATION DYNAMICS OF FRUIT FLIES (DIPTERA:  
TEPHRITIDAE) IN MANGO GROWING AREAS OF LUWERO AND  
WAKISO DISTRICTS, UGANDA**

**ALEX MAYAMBA BSc. Horticulture (Hon). (MAK)**

**Reg No: 2010/HD13/228U**

**A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE DIRECTORATE OF  
RESEARCH AND GRADUATE TRAINING IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT  
OF THE AWARD OF A MASTER OF SCIENCE DEGREE IN ZOOLOGY  
(ENTOMOLOGY) OF MAKERERE UNIVERSITY KAMPALA**

**June, 2014**

**DECLARATION**

I, **Alex Mayamba** declare that the findings of this study are a result of my own original work, unless otherwise stated by reference and have never been submitted for any other degree award to any other institution of learning before.

**Signed:**.....

**ALEX MAYAMBA**

**DATE:**.....

This dissertation has been submitted for the award of a master’s degree with approval of the following supervisors:

**Signed:**.....

**DR. ANNE. M .AKOL,**

**PhD**

**DATE:**.....

**DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE, MAKERERE UNIVERSITY**

**Signed:**.....

**DR. CAROLINE NANKINGA,**

**PhD**

**DATE:**.....

**NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES (NARL),**

**KAWANDA**

**Copyright Reserved by the author,**

**Represented by ©**

## **DEDICATION**

To the Almighty God who has brought me this far, my parents who worked tirelessly to see me achieve my goals, and to my dear wife Ruth Mayamba for all the encouragement and support you offered me during this time.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This study was conducted in the framework of National Agricultural Research Laboratories Mango Fruit Fly Project at Kawanda with funding from the Millennium Science Initiative World Bank Funded project, through the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology (UNCST). The project aimed at gaining insight into physical, ecological and biochemical factors influencing mango fruit fly infestation in Uganda.

This work echo the vast support received from my supervisors, well wishers, classmates and family members. In particular I would like to thank Dr. Caroline Nankinga and Dr Anne Akol for the time and guidance they rendered to me throughout my study period.

I would also like to appreciate the contributions from my colleagues on the project: Brian Isabirye and Winnifred Aool for the support they rendered to me in shaping this study. I would like to thank the Biological control technicians Wasswa William, Stella Adumo, Nampera Florence and Agnes Wenene for their laboratory technical assistance that enabled me to complete this work in a timely and effective manner. Also, thanks go to Dr. Molo Richard, the head of Biological control program for the favourable atmosphere rendered to me in this unit which enabled me complete this study in time. Finally, I gratefully acknowledge the collaboration of the farmers who generously accepted me to use their orchards and also participated in the safe guarding of experimental treatments.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>DECLARATION.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>DEDICATION.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF PLATES .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 General introduction.....	1
1.2 Problem statement .....	3
1.3 Justification .....	4
1.4 General objective.....	7
1.5 Specific objectives:.....	7
1.6 Hypotheses .....	7
<b>CHAPTER TWO .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Biology of tephritid fruit flies and their economic impact .....	9

2.2 Host range of fruit flies.....	10
2.3 Abiotic factors affecting population of tephritid species.....	12
2.3.1 Temperature.....	12
2.3.2 Rainfall .....	13
2.3.3 Relative Humidity .....	15
2.4 Biotic factors affecting population abundance of tephritid species.....	16
2.4.1 Effect of host availability on population abundance of tephritids .....	16
2.4.2 Fruiting phenology .....	17
2.5 Management options for tephritid fruit flies.....	21
<b>CHAPTER THREE.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS .....</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1 Study sites.....	25
<b>TEMPORAL POPULATION DYNAMICS OF TEPHRITID FRUIT FLIES IN SELECTED MANGO ORCHARDS.....</b>	<b>28</b>
4.1 Introduction .....	28
4.2 Materials and methods.....	29
4.2.1 Determining population fluctuation of adult tephritid fruit fly species over time .....	29
4.2.2 Determining fruit fly infestation levels in the studied orchards .....	31
4.2.3 Data analysis.....	33
4.3 Results .....	34
4.3.1 Population dynamics of adult <i>Ceratitis species</i> .....	34
4.3.2 Population dynamics of adult <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> .....	35
4.3.3 Tephritid infestation levels on mango fruits.....	37
4.3.4 Mango varietal tephritid infestation levels .....	37
4.3.5 Tephritid infestation levels in different mango fruit maturity stages .....	39

4.4 Discussion .....	40
4.4.1 Adult tephritid population fluctuations.....	40
4.4.2 Tephritid infestation in mango fruits.....	42
<b>CHAPTER FIVE .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BIOTIC AND ABIOTIC FACTORS WITH FRUIT FLY POPULATION ABUNDANCE IN SELECTED MANGO ORCHARDS.....</b>	<b>45</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	45
5.2 Materials and methods.....	46
5.2.1 Abiotic factors .....	46
5.2.2 Biotic factors .....	47
5.3 Data analysis.....	50
5.4 Results .....	51
5.4.1 Effect of mango phenological stages on <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> and <i>Ceratitis rosa</i> trap catches .....	51
5.4.2 Relationship between alternative host plants diversity and abundance with adult <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> and <i>Ceratitis rosa</i> trap catches .....	52
5.4.3 Relationship between mango fruits abundance at different maturity stages with tephritid infestation levels.....	53
5.4.5 Relationship between climatic factors and adult <i>B. invadens</i> trap catches.....	54
5.4.6 Relationship between climatic factors and adult <i>Ceratitis rosa</i> and <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> trap catches.....	57
5.5 Discussion .....	58
5.5.1 Effect of mango phenology on trap catches .....	58
5.5.2 Relationship between mango fruits abundance and <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> infestation levels in mango fruits.....	59
5.5.3 Relationship between alternative host plants diversity and adult <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> trap catches .....	60
5.5.4 Relationship between climatic factors and adult <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> , <i>Ceratitis rosa</i> and <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> weekly trap catches .....	61
5.5.5 Relationship between climatic factors and mango fruiting phenology.....	64

<b>CHAPTER SIX .....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>6.0 General discussion .....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>6.1 Conclusions.....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>6.2 Recommendations.....</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>93</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 3.1:</b> Map showing location of study sites in Luwero and Wakiso districts.....	25
<b>Figure 4.1</b> Mean monthly adult trap catches ( $\pm$ SE) for <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> and <i>Ceratitis rosa</i> in Luwero and Wakiso districts,2011.....	36
<b>Figure 4.2</b> Mean monthly adult trap catches ( $\pm$ SE) for <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> in Luwero and Wakiso districts, 2011. Relative population densities of <i>Ceratitis rosa</i> , <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> with <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> .....	36
<b>Figure 4.3:</b> Mean <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> fruit fly infestation levels for different mango fruit maturity stages .....	37
<b>Figure 5.1:</b> Relative abundance of alternative fruit fly host plants in the studied mango orchards in Luwero and Wakiso districts.....	52
<b>Figure: 5.2.</b> Linear regression model on the relationship between percentage ripe mango fruits in the orchards with tephritid infestation levels.....	54
<b>Figure 5.3:</b> Linear regression model on the relationship between mean maximum temperatures with <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> trap catches.....	55
<b>Figure 5.4:</b> Linear regression model on the relationship between average weekly rainfall with <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> trap catches.....	54
<b>Figure 5.5:</b> Linear regression model on the relationship between relative humidity with <i>Bactrocera invadens</i> trap catches.....	56

**Figure 5.6:** Linear regression model on the relationship between maximum temperature with *Ceratitis rosa* trap catches.....57

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Relative *B. invadens* infestation levels (mean pupae ( $\pm$ SE)) in the different varieties of mangoes .....38

Table 5.1: Effect of mango phenological stages on mean ( $\pm$ SE) adult *B. invadens* and *C. rosa* trap catches.....51

Table 5.2: Diversity of alternative tephritid host plants in monitored orchards.....53

### LIST OF PLATES

Plate 4.1: Lynified baited trap used to capture the Tephritid fruitflies during the study..30

Plate 4.2: Fruit incubation bowl with fine netting material covered at the.....33

Plate 5.1: Rain gauge machine for measuring total amount of rainfall received per day..47

Plate 5.2: Mango vegetative/leaf flushing phenological stage.....49

Plate 5.3: Mango tree flowering stage.....49

Plate 5.4: Mango tree fruit setting stage.....49

Plate 5.5: Mango fruit development stage.....49

Plate 5.6: Mango physiologically mature fruit stage.....49

Plate 5.7: Ripe mango fruit stage.....49

### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Linear regression model parameters for percentage physiological mature fruits with fruit fly infestation levels.....93

Appendix 2: Linear regression model parameters for percentage fruit set with fruit fly infestation levels.....93

Appendix 3: Multiple regression for percentage physiologically and ripe mature fruits with *B. invadens* infestation levels.....93

Appendix 4: Average monthly temperatures (°C) and rainfall (mm) for kawanda and Luwero.....94

Appendix 5: Correlation matrix for *B. invadens* trap catches with maximum temperatures.....94

Appendix 6: Correlation matrix for *B. invadens* trap catches with relative humidity.....94

Appendix 7: Multiple regression model parameter for relationship between *B. invadens* trap catches with rainfall, maximum temperature and relative humidity.....95

Appendix 8: Correlation matrix for *C. rosa* trap catches with maximum temperatures.....95

Appendix 9: Linear regression model parameter for relationship between *C. rosa* trap catches with minimum temperatures.....95

### **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

- IPS- International Pheromone Systems
- DVDP - Dichlorovinyl dimethyl-phosphate
- NARL- National Agricultural Research Laboratories
- ANOVA- Analysis Of Variance
- IAEA- International Atomic Energy Agency
- ICIPE- International Center for Insect Physiology and Ecology
- ME-Methyl Eugenol
- MRL-Maximum Residue Level
- NARO- National Agricultural Research Organization
- MSI- Millennium Science Initiative
- UNCST- Uganda National Council of Science and Technology
- AFFP- African Fruit Fly Program

## ABSTRACT

Population dynamics of major fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) infesting mango orchards were monitored for 12 months using methyleugenol, trimedlure, terpinyl acetate and protein-baited traps in 2011 in Luwero and Wakiso districts, Uganda. Pupae recoveries from incubation of mango fruit samples were used to determine the fruit fly infestation levels. The trial was laid out in a split plot design with the months of trapping as the main plots and lures sub plots and replicated in four orchards. The effect of rainfall, relative humidity, temperature, mango plant phenological stages and alternative host plants diversity and abundance on the population dynamics of the fruit flies was also studied. The annual population of adult *Bactrocera invadens* (Drew) increased significantly ( $F_{11, 0.091} = 2.633$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ) from April and peaked in July, when mango fruits were ripening. It then started declining from August when the mango season was over. On the other hand, mango fruit infestation levels were also significantly different ( $H = 5.991$ ,  $df = 2$ ,  $p$ -value  $< 0.0001$ ), with highest infestation recorded in the period of April to May (peak of harvesting season) and June to July (period of late maturing fruit harvests). *Ceratitis* species were recorded in traps but did not emerge from the fruit samples. Highest trap catches for *Ceratitis* spp. were observed in the month of May but generally the populations fluctuated irregularly over the trapping period and their populations were extremely low. The results demonstrated that *B. invadens* is the most dominant species in the studied area. Regression analyses between fly populations with the biotic and abiotic factors indicated that percentage ripe mango fruits and alternative host plants abundance strongly influenced tephritid pest population in mango orchards. On the other hand climatic factors weakly associated with fruit fly populations. The results of this study have important implications on the decision-making process for safe, effective monitoring and management of major fruit flies in commercial mango orchards in the study area in Uganda. The results will also act as a biological calendar to anticipate the order and timing of management practices in line with the most vulnerable stages of mango fruits to fruit fly attack in central districts of Uganda.